Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision	Significant	Administrative		
		Operational Decision	Decision		
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	⊠ below £25,000	☐ below £25,000		
value	☐ £500,000 to	£25,000 to £100,000	£25,000 to £100,000		
	£1,000,000	£100,000 to £500,000			
	☐ over £1,000,000	Over £500,000			
Director ¹	Director of City Development				
Contact person:	Morgan Tatchell-Evans		Telephone number:		
			0113 3783655		
Subject ² :	Leeds Public Transport Investment Programme (LPTIP); Bradford to Leeds				
	A647 Bus Priority Corridor; Bradford Road design change				
Decision	What decision has been taken?				
details ³ :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)				
	The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation):				
	i) Noted the contents of the report;				
	·				
	ii) Requested the City Solicitor to advertise draft Traffic Regulation Orders required to implement the elements of the				
	A647 Bus Priority Corridor scheme within the Calverley &				
	Farsley ward, and if no valid objections are received, to make,				
	seal and implement the Orders as advertised. The Traffic Regulation Orders are described in the Summary TRO Plans				
	and Summary TRO Schedule included in Background				
	Documents 8.3 and 8.4, respectively.				
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision				
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)				
	consulted with Finance, PAC	5, Legal, HR and Equality coll	eagues as appropriate)		
	In October 2019, the Chief	Officer (Highways and Tra	nsportation) gave		
	approval to advertise the draft Traffic Regulation Orders required to implement				
	the elements of the A647 Bus Priority Corridor scheme in the vicinity of				
	Bradford Road. Since this decision was taken, the design in this area has				
		ected the the proposed TRC			
		The the proposed The	o. This change is		

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	required due to a conflict between the proposals for this project, and those for				
	the Dawsons Corner junction reconfiguration scheme. These changes are				
	necessary to ensure that the benefits of both schemes can be delivered.				
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision				
	maker at the time of making the decision				
	The option to retain the original design for the A647 Bus Priority Corridor project				
	in this location has been considered, but was rejected as it would lead to				
	congestion in the area following the implementation of the Dawsons Corner				
	reconfiguration scheme.				
Affected wards:	Calverley & Farsley				
Details of	Executive Member briefed 11/01/21				
consultation	Ward Councillors				
undertaken⁴:	Local Ward Members (Calverley & Farsley) briefed November 2020				
	Others				
	The bus operators (First Bus) were informed of the proposed design change in				
	November 2020.				
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation				
	Officer accountable: Morgan Tatchell-Evans				
	TROs to be advertised early 2021				
List of	Date Added to List:-				
Forthcoming					
Key Decisions ⁵	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision				
	it is impracticable to delay the decision				
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval				
	Signature Date				
	Oignataro Dato				
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the				
. donodion or	reason why not possible:				

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

report ⁶					
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval				
	Signature		Date		
	1 1 1 1 7				
Call In	Is the decision available ⁷	Yes	⊠ No		
	for call-in?				
	If exempt from call-in, the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:				
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ⁸				
Decision	Gary Bartlett, Chief Officer, Highways & Tranportation				
	Signature:	£.	Date: 15 June 2021		

⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.